1	San Day
2	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
3	
4	FEB 1/ CONTROPRINA
5	CENTRAL DISTRIOT OF CALIFORNIA
6	BY CENT
7	THE THE STATE OF STATE OF SALES
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 10-152 M
11	Plaintiff,) ORDER OF REVOCATION/DETENTION) IN BAIL REVOCATION/DETENTION
12	v.) PROCEEDINGS
13	Any Huong Lam, (18 U.S.C. § 3148(b))
14	Defendant.)
15	Upon motion of the Government to detain defendant in connection
16	
17	with bail revocation/detention proceedings pursuant to 18 U.S.C.
18	§ 3148(b):
19	A. The Court finds:
20	(\checkmark) there is probable cause to believe that defendant has
21	committed a federal, state, or local crime while on
22	release;
23	() there is clear and convincing evidence that defendant
24	has violated another condition of release;
25	B. The Court further finds:
26	() no condition or combination of conditions will
27	reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as
ļ	required;
28	

1	($^{\prime}$) no condition or combination of conditions will
. 2	reasonably assure the safety of any other person and
3	the community;
4	(\checkmark) defendant is unlikely to abide by any condition or
5	combination of conditions of release.
6	C. The Court has considered:
7	(\checkmark the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;
8	(I the weight of the evidence against defendant;
9	(\checkmark) the history and characteristics of defendant;
10	(the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person
11	or the community that would be posed by defendant's
12	release.
13	D. The Court concludes:
14	(V) Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons
15	and the community based on: the inter-
16	notohin allywhon and valelying Chayes
17	
18	
19	() Defendant poses a serious flight risk based on:
20	
21	
22	
23	(\checkmark) Defendant is unlikely to abide by any condition or
24	combination of conditions of release based on:
25	non-compliance with condition y release to date, i.e. instant violation allegations.
26	instant violation allegations.
27	
ł	

1	E. The Government () is () is not entitled to a rebuttable
2	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions
3	will assure that defendant will not pose a danger to the
4	safety of any person or the community.
5	F. The Court finds:
6	() Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to
7	the contrary the presumption provided in 18 U.S.C.
8	§ 3148(b) that no condition or combination of
9	conditions will assure the safety of any other person
LO	or the community;
L1	IT IS ORDERED that defendant is detained and remanded to the
L2	custody of the U.S. Marshal.
L3	If defendant is awaiting trial, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that
4	defendant be confined in a corrections facility separate, to the
L5	extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or
16	persons held in custody pending appeal.
L7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable
8.	opportunity for private consultation with defendant's counsel.
9	DATED: 2/17/10 Inc Chif
20	HOMORABLE JACQUEINE CHOOLJIAN United States Magistrate Judge
21	Confident beades magistrate outage
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
8 2	